A Tale of Two Theories: Searches For Higgs Pair Production with the ATLAS Detector

November 27th, 2017



Benjamin Tannenwald



The 'First' Theory

- Standard Model of particle physics
- Describes three of the four known forces (electromagnetic, strong and weak interactions)
- It's predictions have been tested and found accurate to an impressive degree
- Three families of fermions (quarks + leptons)
- Gluons, photons and W/Z bosons mediate forces (spin-1 bosons)
- Higgs boson is product of field which gives particles their fundamental mass (spin-0 boson)



2012: A Higgs Odyssev

- Higgs boson discovered • by ATLAS and CMS in 2012
- Many parameters already well measured
 - Mass 0
 - Spin/CP 0
 - Couplings to other SM 0 particles

GeV

ഹ

N 30

Events /

25

20

15

10

5

80

- We are moving from an era focused on Higgs discovery to an era of Higgs exploration
 - Using the Higgs to test 0 new areas of the Standard Model





- Both processes lead to non-resonant production of Higgs boson pairs in the Standard Model
- Quark loop interactions are proportional to Yukawa
 Higgs-quark coupling
- **Triple Higgs** interaction is proportional to the unmeasured(!) trilinear Higgs self-coupling
 - Strength of coupling well-predicted by the Standard Model

AND

 Measurements of the self-coupling are possible at the LHC



So What Else? (I did say two theories...)

- SM doesn't explain a lot
 - Hierarchy problem
 - Origin of neutrino mass
 - Dark matter?
 - Gravity??
- New theories are needed (and available!) to understand these phenomena









 Precision measurements give us a way to rigorously test Standard Model predictions AND let us compare them against the predictions/existence of new theories

ATLAS Detector



General-purpose detector at the LHC

- Tracking, calorimeters, muon systems
- Designed to reconstruct electrons, muons, photons jets, and missing energy across large range of energies



Di-Higgs Studies with ATLAS

- ATLAS published four searches for Higgs pair production using Run 1 dataset
 - bbbb, bbττ, bbγγ, and γγWW*
- Combination yielded results
 - Non-resonant upper limit of ~0.69 pb (70x SM)
 - Resonant upper limit of 0.011 pb for $m_{H} = 1000$





- Effort in Run 2 focusing on improving Run 1 analyses and adding new decay channels
 - \circ Improvements: Multivariate analyses, boosted objects in high p_{T} regime, and more
 - New channels: **bbWW*** and WW*WW*

hh → bōWW* @ 13 TeV

- Search for non-resonant (SM) and resonant (exotic) di-Higgs production
- Second highest branching fraction after bbbb
- Analysis in semileptonic decay channel,
 i.e. b̄₩W* → b̄ℓvqq'
- Three selection strategies: non-resonant, low resonance mass, and high resonance mass
- Require one charged lepton (e, µ), ≥ 4 jets,
 = 2 b-tags
- Collaboration between six institutions including Ohio State and Illinois
- First search using this final state



Dataset + Object Selection

- Use 36.1 fb⁻¹ of data from 13 TeV proton-proton collisions
- Monte Carlo simulations used for di-Higgs signal, tt, W+jets, Z+jets, diboson, and single top backgrounds
 - tt normalization calculated using data in control region
- Data-driven method used to estimate multi-jet QCD background
- Largest background contributions come from tt and multi-jet processes

| Object Selection | | Event Pre-Selection | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Lepton: $p_T > 27$ GeV, $ \eta < 2.5$, track-based isolation | | Lepton trigger, at least one primary vertex with \geq 5 tracks | |
| <u>Jets:</u> Anti- $k_T \Delta R=0.4$, $p_T > 20$ GeV, $ \eta < 2.5$, 85% b-tagging eff | | = 1 lepton, ≥ 4 jets | |
| <u>MET:</u> MET > 25 GeV | | Select events with = 2 b-jets | |

Create m_{bb} control region (m_{bb} < 100 GeV, m_{bb} > 140 GeV) to validate techniques and optimize search strategies

Event Reconstruction

2. **Require a hadronic W** Of the 3 highest pT non-b-tagged jets, keep the pair with smallest deltaR



Step 3: Reconstruct a leptonic W Solve 2nd order equation using MET, lepton, & hadronic W using Higgs mass constraint Keep the solution with smallest deltaR(lep, v) in case of two solutions

Event Selection

| Variable | Non-resonant | Low-mass | High-mass | |
|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| MET [GeV] | | > 25 | | |
| p_{T}^{WW} [GeV] | > 250 | | | |
| $m_{bar{b}}$ [GeV] | 105 - 135 | | | |
| m_{WW} [GeV] | < 130 | < 130 | no cut | |
| $p_{T}^{bar{b}}$ [GeV] | > 300 | > 210 | > 350 | |
| ΔR_{WW} | no cut | no cut | < 1.5 | |
| $m_{hh} [GeV]$ | no cut | $[625, 775]^{\dagger}$ | $[1910, 2170]^{\dagger}$ | |



- Selection variables differ between analysis strategies
 - Variables and cuts optimized using Poisson significance at end of selection
- † m_{hh} cuts are dependent on resonance mass under consideration
 - Windows shown above are for 700 GeV (Low-mass) and 2000 GeV (High-mass)

QCD Estimation

- Multi-jet backgrounds enter event selection due to jets mis-identified as leptons and non-prompt lepton production
- Use a 2D sideband method where the signal region, **A**, has two (independent) cuts inverted to create three independent control regions (**B**, **C**, and **D**)



 Use significance of lepton impact parameter and MET as independent variables

Yield in A region can then be expressed as

$$N_A = R \frac{N_C N_B}{N_D}$$

- Shape in C region taken as shape in A region
- Assume that difference in behavior between B and D regions is identical to difference between A and C regions
 - *R* factor corrects for deviations from this assumption
- Control regions defined early in event selection to validate estimation and modeling

Control Region Kinematics



<u>High Resonance Mass</u>



- After requiring $b\bar{b} p_{T} > 210 \text{ GeV}$
- Transverse mass (left) shows agreement including data-driven QCD
- bb mass (right) shows backgrounds are well modeled in sideband
- Scaled 700 GeV resonance signal shown to give idea of shape

- After requiring $b\bar{p}_{T} > 350 \text{ GeV}$
- Transverse mass (left) shows agreement including data-driven QCD
- bb mass (right) shows backgrounds are well modeled in sideband
- Scaled 2000 GeV resonance signal shown to give idea of shape

Signal Region Plots

Low Resonance Mass



High Resonance Mass



bbWW* Upper Limits

- A simultaneous maximum-likelihood fit is performed using the number of events in the final signal and control regions
- Largest systematics vary by selection strategy
 - <u>Non-resonant:</u> tt normalization + ISR/FSR modeling, QCD normalization, jet energy scale, MET resolution
 - <u>Low Resonance Mass:</u> tt norm. + parton shower modeling, jet energy scale and resolution, QCD norm., MET resolution
 - <u>High Resonance Mass</u>: W+jets norm. + scale/PDF uncertainties, QCD normalization, jet energy scale and resolution

<u>Resonant</u>: Most stringent observed limit for di-Higgs production from the decay of a spin-0 resonance H is found at ~0.23 pb for a resonance mass of 1300 GeV

<u>Non-resonant:</u> Observed upper limit for non-resonant di-Higgs production is found to be 12.1 pb (~360 times SM prediction)



$hh \rightarrow b \overline{b} b \overline{b} \textcircled{0} 13 \ TeV_{\text{ATLAS-CONF-2016-049}}$



- Uses 13.3 fb-1 of data collected in 2015+2016
- Sets limits on spin-2 production of Higgs pairs over resonance mass range 300-3000 GeV
- Resolved analysis selects ≥ 4 b-jets
 - Keep 4 highest b-tagged jets
 - Pair b-tagged jets

based on m_{bb} and

 $\Delta R_{b\bar{b}}$



- Boosted analysis selects 2 high p_T fat jets
 - Leading jet required to have $p_T > 450 \text{ GeV}$
 - Require b-tagged track jets in Higgs candidates
- Both analysis use orthogonal sideband and control regions to model backgrounds before fitting in signal region

$hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b} \text{ Results }_{\text{\tiny ATLAS-CONF-2016-049}}$

- Boosted more sensitive than resolved above resonance masses of 1000 GeV
- Largest background contributions come from tt and QCD multi-jet production
 - Multijet makes up 95% of background in resolved channel
 - Multijet ~85% of bkg in boosted channel

Non-resonant: Observed upper limit for non-resonant di-Higgs production is 330 fb (29 times the SM prediction) Resonant: Most stringent upper limit for resonant spin-2 di-Higgs production found at ~1.9 fb for a resonance mass of 3 TeV



$hh \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma \textcircled{0} 13 \ TeV _{\tiny ATLAS-CONF-2016-004}$

- Sets limits on spin-0 production of Higgs pairs over resonance mass range 275-400 GeV
- Uses 3.2 fb-1 of data collected in 2015
- Require ≥ 2 isolated photons and = 2 isolated b-jets
- Counting experiment in m_{γγ}-m_{bbγγ} plane using mass windows specific for each resonance mass



$hh \rightarrow b \bar{b} \gamma \gamma \text{ Results }_{\text{\tiny ATLAS-CONF-2016-004}}$

- Dominant SM continuum background determined using sidebands and mass window efficiencies in 0 b-tag region
 - \circ m_{vv} efficiency calculated using exponential fit in 0-tag region
 - m_{bbγγ} efficiency extrapolated from Landau fit to m_{jjγγ} spectrum in 0-tag region

Non-resonant: Observed upper limit for non-resonant di-Higgs production is 3.9 pb (~350 times the SM prediction) Resonant: Most stringent upper limit for resonant spin-0 di-Higgs production found at ~4.0 pb for a resonance mass of 400 GeV



$hh \rightarrow \gamma \gamma WW^{*} \textcircled{0} 13 \ TeV_{\text{ATLAS-CONF-2016-071}}$

- Uses 13.3 fb-1 of data collected in 2015+2016
- Sets limits on spin-0 production of Higgs pairs over resonance mass range 260-500 GeV
- Uses semileptonic decay mode for WW*, i.e. WW* →ℓvqq'
 - Require ≥ 2 photons, ≥ 2 non
 b-tagged jets, = 0 b-tagged jets,
 ≥ 1 isolated lepton
- = 0 lepton selection used as control region for data-driven estimation of SM diphoton background



$hh \rightarrow \gamma \gamma WW^* \ Results_{_ATLAS-CONF-2016-071}$

- Limits set using counting experiment in final signal region
- SM diphoton continuum background is dominant background
 - \circ Estimated using exponential fit to m_{vv} sideband in 0-tag region



Where Are We Now?



- Current upper limits for spin-0 resonance analyses shown above
 - Run 2 bbbb resonance limits presented for spin-2 only
- Best non-resonant upper limit ~29 times SM expectation (from bbbb)
- More channels in progress: bbтт, WW*WW*
- Use of full dataset will improve limits
- Combination of Run 2 analyses will further improve sensitivity

Future Improvements: $hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}WW^*$

• Add new channels:

- Fully hadronic WW* \rightarrow qq'qq': larger branching fraction
- Fully leptonic WW* $\rightarrow \ell v \ell v$: cleaner final state
- Combining all channels will yield more sensitive measurement
- Boosted regime
 - Look at fat jet + b-tagged track jets to pick up high pT h \rightarrow bb decays
 - Use jet substructure variables to recover merged hadronic W decays in $h \rightarrow WW^*$ decays
 - Will drive sensitivity for high resonance masses

• Kinematic fitting

- Early studies show significant potential for improving S/B
- Develop background and signal hypotheses, can use individually or in combination
- Gain dependent on modeling uncertainty, but lots of promise
- Use MVA (e.g. boosted decision trees) to optimize event selection
- Develop/implement new triggers
 - Lepton+jets trigger for low resonance mass/non-resonant analyses
 - Large R-jet trigger for boosted analyses

Future Improvements: General hh

- Use of full Run 2 data set (~120 fb⁻¹)
- Add new decay channels of WW* decays
 - Fully leptonic γγWW*
- Improve b-jet and boosted jet triggers
 - Significant improvement expected for bbbb analysis
- Non-resonant production:
 - Train boosted decision trees for event selection using multiple values of Higgs self-coupling
- Resonant production:
 - Nearly all channels can extend sensitivity by use of boosted hadronic objects
 - Resolve leptons inside fat jets from $h \rightarrow \tau \tau$ and semileptonic $h \rightarrow WW^*$ decays
- Full combination
 - \circ bbbb, bbtt, and bbyy will drive sensitivity at low resonance mass
 - bbbb will drive high mass sensitivity (bbWW* becomes competitive)



It's The Best of Times

- Bad news? We haven't seen any new physics yet.
- Good news? We haven't seen new physics *yet*!
- Measurements of the Higgs • self-coupling open a new region of the Standard Model
 - fitters also Upper limits on non-resonant Higgs pair production approaching 10 times the
 - SM prediction
 - Limits also set for wide range of resonant Higgs pair production Ο
- Non-resonant (and self-coupling!) measurement possible • with ~1000 fb⁻¹
- This is exciting time: we know there must be new physics, and Higgs is unique new tool for testing SM



